



































































































FLOOR ALERT

Date: May 25, 2021

To: Members, California State Assembly

From: Peter Blocker, Vice President of Policy

Subject: OPPOSITION to AB 71 (Luz Rivas), as amended on May 24, 2021

The California Taxpayers Association and the organizations listed in this letter oppose AB 71, a major tax increase on California businesses. This bill would significantly increase taxes by requiring taxpayers who make a water's-edge election to include in their gross income 50 percent of global intangible low-taxed income and 40 percent of the repatriation income of affiliated corporations. Taxpayers that experience a tax increase under these

provisions would be prohibited from utilizing business tax credits to offset more than \$5 million of the new liability.

AB 71 does not apply to corporations doing business in California that are based in foreign countries like China, Germany, Japan, India, the United Kingdom, or any other country. This bill only hurts American businesses while giving foreign companies a competitive advantage.

There is no doubt that homelessness in California should be addressed, but AB 71 creates new problems rather than solutions, and we, therefore, must oppose this bill for the following reasons:

Governor Is Committing \$12 Billion to Homelessness Programs. Governor Gavin Newsom's May budget revision proposes \$12 billion over the next two fiscal years to address homelessness by expanding the Project Homekey program, providing mental health wraparound services, and taking other important steps. The governor's proposal acknowledges that with record reserves of \$24.4 billion (including \$15.9 billion in the state's Rainy Day Fund), a \$75.7 billion revenue windfall from higher-than-anticipated tax revenue and \$27 billion in federal stimulus dollars, tax increases are not necessary. In fact, tax receipts are so high that California finds itself in a position where tax refunds may be required under the Gann Limit.

We encourage the Legislature to increase the revenue available for important programs not by increasing taxes, but by helping California employers recover from the pandemic, rehire workers and return to the activities that filled the state's tax coffers and reserves.

The Tax Is Retroactive and Disadvantages American Businesses. The tax on repatriated income is retroactive, potentially reaching as far back as the 1980s. There is no possible way that taxpayers could have foreseen that they would have to pay taxes on income they reported years ago. Taxing income from years in the past should be flatly rejected.

Taxing companies that repatriate income back to the United States puts U.S.-based companies doing business in California at a substantial disadvantage to foreign corporations because these foreign corporations do not bring income back to the United States. The additional costs from this proposal will be borne by U.S.-based businesses and will be a significant advantage for foreign companies in a competitive market.

Harms California Workers. This bill would threaten California jobs by imposing a tax increase at a time when California businesses already pay some of the highest taxes in the country. California's corporate income tax rate is the highest among the Western states and one of the highest in the nation.

As businesses will be unable to mitigate the proposed increase in corporate taxes, they will look to other alternatives to lower costs. Taxpayers will look to reduce total operating costs by relocating to lower-cost jurisdictions or suspending expansion of business activities within California.

The companies that remain will be placed at a tremendous competitive disadvantage. Their only response will be to reduce or not increase wages and benefits for their workers and move new hires to lower-cost jurisdictions to stay competitive. A thriving economy is the best source of growing revenue for important government programs, but by chasing jobs away, this proposal would hurt rather than help.

According to the Washington, D.C.-based Tax Foundation, California's business tax climate ranks second-worst in the United States. After comparing the costs of operating in California vs. other states, many employers left our state in 2020. The relocation of these companies and their employees to lower-cost states has a major impact on state and local tax revenue, causes unemployment for workers who cannot move to the new location, and is a sign that California must find ways to be more competitive.

Effectively Undermines the Water's-Edge Election. Prior to the enactment of the water'sedge election, the United Kingdom, Japan, Canada, and other trading partners were outraged by California's method of taxing multinational companies, which led these foreign governments to call for significant retaliatory actions against California and the United States unless corrective measures were adopted. This outrage led to the bipartisan creation of California's water's-edge election in 1986 with passage of SB 85 (Alguist). The water's-edge election has been a success in ensuring that California is allowed to tax profits derived from or attributable to California, while California businesses that have operations here and abroad are not overburdened with reporting nor penalized for their California investments. At the same time, our trading partners are satisfied by California's water's-edge election because their constituents are not being unreasonably burdened or taxed, thus easing the tensions of a potential trade or tax war. Following passage of the creation of the water's-edge election, The Sacramento Bee's editorial board wrote in August 1986: "(S)omething had to be done about California's unitary taxing method – not because it is unfair or inefficient, but because the nation's most important trade partners object to it violently. Its mere existence provokes foreign policy problems for the nation (which Congress and the president are itching to resolve if California doesn't) and hinder foreign investments in the state." AB 71 would bring back many of these tax fights, effectively undermining the benefits of the water's-edge election.

Jobs Are Vital. Californians are sensitive to the problems noted above, as illustrated by the Berkeley IGS Poll's finding that 78 percent of voters "agreed that taxes in California were already so high that they were driving many people and businesses out of the state." The seriousness of this problem was made clear in 2020 when pioneers in the technological revolution – founders of a signature industry that spawned the appellation "Silicon Valley" and made California the world's tech leader – decided to move elsewhere specifically because of California's high costs and the threat of even higher taxes on the horizon. If the state's only response is a tax increase, other employers will be far more likely to join the exodus.

Additionally, California's anticipated 2021 unemployment rate average of 8.5 percent is still higher than pre-COVID lows of 3.9 percent and the overall U.S. unemployment rate. Jobs are vital for individual California workers and to our state economy – especially in light of the pandemic. Every new job created in California provides income for the worker and increased revenue to the state through the existing tax structure.

For these reasons, CalTax and the organizations listed below strongly oppose AB 71.

Sincerely,

California Taxpayers Association AdvaMed Bay Area Council Beverly Hills Chamber of Commerce California Association of Winegrape Growers California Attractions and Parks Association California Bankers Association

California Beer and Beverage Distributors

California Building Industry Association

California Business Properties Association

California Cattlemen's Association

California Chamber of Commerce

California Grocers Association

California Hotel & Lodging Association

California Independent Petroleum Association

California League of Food Producers

California Life Sciences Association

California Manufacturers & Technology Association

California Mortgage Bankers Association

California New Car Dealers Association

California Retailers Association

California Trucking Association

Central Valley Business Federation

Contra Costa Taxpayers Association

Council on State Taxation

Family Business Association of California

Global Business Alliance

Greater Irvine Chamber

Hotel Council of San Francisco

Kern County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

Kern County Taxpayers Association

National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies

North Bay Leadership Council

North Orange County Chamber

Opportunity Stanislaus

Orange County Business Council

Orange County Taxpayers Association

Oxnard Chamber of Commerce

Personal Insurance Federation of California (PIFC)

San Fernando Valley Chamber

San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership

San Mateo Area Chamber of Commerce

Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association

Silicon Valley Leadership Group

TechNet

Tri County Chamber Alliance

West Coast Lumber & Building Material Association

Western Growers Association

Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association

Western States Petroleum Association

Wine Institute