# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

#### See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

- Coo Crim Coolon Cool Monacalone and Code Challene							
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER					
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		NOTICE FILE NUMBER					
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT							
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)							
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate wh	ether this regulation:						
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting requi	rements					
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive ins	stead of performance					
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals						
d. Impacts California competitiveness  h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)							
h. (cont.)							
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked	, complete this Economic Impact Statement.)						
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits.):					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	s impacted that are small businesses:						
	eliminated:						
Explain:							
	Statewide Local or regional (List areas.):						
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or elimi	nated: Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:					
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?  Yes No If yes, explain briefly:							
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assi	umptions in the rulemaking record.)						
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busine	sses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over	er its lifetime? \$					
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$ Y	ears:					
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$ Y	ears:					
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$ Y	ears:					
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:							

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

number of units:  5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?   Yes   No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:	If multiple industries are impacted, en	ter the share of total costs for ea	ch industry:	
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?				
number of units:  5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	costs to do programming, record kee	ping, reporting, and other paperv	vork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$	
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? Yes No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:  Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$		using costs? Yes	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit:	and the
regulations:  Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$  C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of:   specific statutory requirements, or   goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:   3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:  2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:  Regulation:   Benefit: \$   Cost: \$	number of units:			
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$  C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of:   specific statutory requirements, or   goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:   3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:  2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:  Regulation:   Benefit: \$   Cost: \$	5. Are there comparable Federal regular	ions? Yes No	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of	of Federal
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:  Regulation: Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 1: Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2: Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2: Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2: Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 3: Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? Yes No	regulations:			
1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:  2. Are the benefits the result of:	Enter any additional costs to busines	ses and/or individuals that may b	be due to State - Federal differences: \$	
2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or soals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  Explain:	C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation	of the dollar value of benefits is r	not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	
Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$  D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:  2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:  Regulation:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 1:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 3:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 3:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 4:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 5:  Alternative 5:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 7:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 7:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 8:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 9:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 1:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 3:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 5:  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 7:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 8:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 9:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 1:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 1:  Benefit: \$  Alternative 1:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 2:  Benefit: \$  Alternative 3:  Benefit: \$  Cost: \$  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Alternative 6:  Benefit: \$  Bene	Briefly summarize the benefits that m	ay result from this regulation and	who will benefit:	
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$				ority?
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:  2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:  Regulation:  Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Senefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 4: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ No  Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ No  Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ No				pefite is not
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:  Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  Simplify discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  No			sumptions in the fulcinaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of bei	
Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?	List alternatives considered and desc	ribe them below. If no alternative	s were considered, explain why not:	
Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?	2 Summarize the total statewide costs	and hanafits from this regulation a	and each alternative considered.	
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?	2. Outilinanze the total statewide costs (	-		
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  A. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  Yes No				
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  Yes  No				
equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?			<u> </u>	
Evoluin				
Explain:	Explain:			

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Wil	II the estima	ated costs of	this regulation	on to Californi	a business ent	terprises exc	eed \$10 millio	n? :	Yes	No (If No, sk	ip the rest of	this section.)
2. Br	iefly descril	be each equa	lly as an eff	ective alternat	tive, or combin	ation of alter	natives, for wh	nich a cost-ef	fectiveness	analysis wa	s performed:	
Alt	ternative 1:											
Alt	ternative 2:											
3. For	r the regula	ition, and eac	h alternative	just describe	d, enter the es	stimated total	cost and over	rall cost-effec	tiveness rat	io:		
Re	egulation:	(	<b>&gt;</b>				Cost-effect	iveness ratio:	\$			
Alt	ternative 1:							iveness ratio:				
Alt	ternative 2:						Cost-effect	iveness ratio:	\$			
					FISCAL	IMPAC	T STATE	MENT				
		ECT ON LOC		NMENT (Indi	cate appropria	te boxes1 thr	ough 6 and a	ttach calculati	ions and as	sumptions o	f fiscal impac	t for the current
1.	. Additiona	l expenditure	s of approxi	mately \$		in the cu	rrent State Fis	scal Year whi	ch are reimb	oursable by	the State pur	suant to
					itution and Sec							
	a.	is provided in	າ		, Budget	Act of	or (	Chapter		, S	statutes of	
	Пь	will be reque	etad in the			Gover	nor's Rudget f	or appropriati	on in Budge	at Act of		
	b.	will be reque	sted in the_	(FISC	AL YEAR)	Oover	noi s budget i	ог арргорпац	on in budge			
2.	. Additiona	l expenditure	s of approxi	mately \$		in the cu	rrent State Fis	cal Year which	ch are not re	eimbursable	by the State	pursuant to
	Section 6	of Article XII	B of the Ca	lifornia Const	itution and Se	ctions 17500	et seq. of the	Government	Code becau	use this regu	ılation:	
	a.	implements	the Federal	mandate cont	ained in							
	b. i	implements tl	ne court mar	ndate set forth	by the							
		court in the	case of					vs				
	c.	implements election;	a mandate c	f the people o	of this State ex	pressed in th	eir approval o	of Proposition	No		_at the	(DATE)
	_	0.000.0.,										,
	d. i	is issued only	in response	to a specific	request from t	he						
									, which is/ar	e the only lo	ocal entity(s)	affected;
	e.	will be fully f	nanced from	the		(	FEES, REVENUE	, ETC.)			author	ized by Section
												Code;
					of the	;						Code,
	f.	provides for	savings to e	ach affected ι	unit of local go	vernment wh	ich will, at a m	ninimum, offse	et any additi	onal costs to	o each such ı	unit;
	g.	creates, elim	inates, or ch	nanges the pe	nalty for a new	v crime or inf	raction contair	ned in				
<b>-</b>	On the	-f	. <b></b>									
3.	. savings	or approxima	легу \$		_annually.							

4. No additional costs or savings because this regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.							
6. Other.							
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculated year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	ulations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current						
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:						
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.							
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefis	scal year.						
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.							
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.							
4. Other.							
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 throimpact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	ugh 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal						
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Ye	ar.						
2. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.							
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency of	r program.						
4. Other.							
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE						
AGENCY SECRETARY 1 APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE						
PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE						

- 1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.