peed, 3 2 6 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 12 13 INSURANCE COMMISSIONER STEVE CASE NO. BS129209 POIZNER, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT 14 Petitioner, 15 16 DEPT: 86 The Honorable Ann Jones OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, JUDGE: 17 Respondent, 18 AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LIFE INSURERS; 19 AMERICAN INSURANCE ASSOCIATION; ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA 20 INSURANCE COMPANIES; ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH 21 INSURANCE COMPANIES and PERSONAL INSURANCE FEDERATION OF 22 CALIFORNIA, 23 Real Parties In Interest. 24 Petitioner, Dave Jones, Respondent, and Real Parties In Interest, American Council of 25 Life Insurers, American Insurance Association, Association of California Insurance 26 Companies, Association of California Life and Health Insurance Companies, and Personal 27 28 Case No. BS129209 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Insurance Federation of California, enter into this settlement agreement to resolve the above-entitled litigation.

WHEREAS, on February 10, 2010, the previous Commissioner of the Department of Insurance, Steve Poizner, through the General Counsel, communicated to all insurance companies doing business in the state of California, as follows:

- 1. The Department has compiled a list of foreign entities doing business with the Iranian oil and natural gas, nuclear, and defense sectors (the List).
- 2. The entities on the List are subject to financial risk because they are doing business in Iran.
- 3. Effective March 30, 2010, the Department will treat investments held by insurance companies doing business in California in those entities on the List as non-admitted, and insurance companies holding those investments shall report those investments separately and report them as non-admitted assets.

WHEREAS, on March 29, 2010, Real Parties In Interest submitted a petition to Respondent, seeking a determination that the February 10, 2010 communication is an invalid "underground" regulation.

WHEREAS, on October 11, 2010, Respondent determined that the February 10, 2010 communication, (1) met the definition of a regulation, (2) had not been adopted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, (3) did not fall within any of the exceptions to the Administrative Procedure Act, and (4) was an invalid regulation.

WHEREAS, on November 9, 2010, Petitioner's predecessor, Steve Poizner, filed a petition for writ of mandate in the Superior Court in Los Angeles County, initiating this litigation and seeking to overturn Respondent's Determination.

WHEREAS, on February 4, 2011, Real Parties In Interest filed their cross-complaints for injunctive and declaratory relief. In addition to seeking a judgment enjoining Petitioner from enforcing the February 10, 2010 communication on the ground that the communication was an underground regulation, certain real parties in interest sought a declaration that Petitioner lacked statutory authority to impose the requirements of the February 10, 2010

Ca.

.26

communication and that the communication was preempted by the U.S. Constitution and federal law.

WHEREAS, the parties desire to resolve this litigation, THEREFORE, they agree as follows:

- 1. This Settlement Agreement is entered into by the parties solely for the purpose of reaching a compromise settlement without litigating the issues, and it is the intent of the parties that any conduct or statements made in negotiation shall be inadmissible for any purpose in any proceeding unrelated to the enforcement of the terms of this Settlement Agreement. By entering into this Settlement Agreement, the parties acknowledge and agree that this Settlement Agreement does not constitute an admission by Petitioner that the February 10, 2010 communication is an invalid underground regulation, lacks statutory authority, or is preempted by the U.S. Constitution and federal law. Nor is entering into this Settlement Agreement an admission by the Real Parties In Interest that the February 10, 2010 communication is exempt from the Administrative Procedure Act, authorized by statute, or permitted by the U.S. Constitution or federal law.
- 2. In consideration of the agreements made by the respective parties, Petitioner agrees to dismiss his Petition for Writ of Mandate without prejudice, and Real Parties In Interest agree to dismiss their Cross-Complaints without prejudice.
 - 3. The parties agree as follows:
- a. The Department may maintain and update the List of entities doing business with the Iranian energy, nuclear, and military sectors. The Department may add entities to the List or remove entities from the List based on its continuing research and consultation with outside experts regarding entities' business transactions with the Iranian energy, nuclear, and military sectors.
- b. If the Department adds an entity to the List or removes an entity from the List, the Department shall notify all insurers of the change to the List. The Department may satisfy this notification requirement by creating a page on the Department's website which will allow

individuals to register by e-mail to receive notification of changes to the List of Companies in Iran.

- c. The Department may periodically issue a press release, stating the number of insurers holding investments in entities on the List. Solely to illustrate the nature of such a release and to guide the parties implementing this Settlement Agreement in the future, the following example is provided: "Of 1300 insurance companies doing business in California, only 150 insurers now hold investments in entities on the List. For further information, go to the Department's website at www.insurance.ca.gov."
- d. The Department may identify, on its website, the insurers with investments in entities on the List. An insurer identified on the Department's website as making investments in entities on the List may appeal that identification to the Petitioner. The website identification of insurers making investments in entities on the List shall not include any information concerning the investment, such as, the name of the entity, the amount of the investment, or the date the investment was made. Any identification on the Department's website of insurers making investments in entities on the List shall be accompanied by the following statement: "Nothing contained in this document is intended to infer or imply that holding such investments is unlawful."
- e. If the Department adds an entity to the List, it shall not add an insurer holding an investment in the added entity to the insurers identified on the Department's website until 90 days after providing notice to insurers of the added entity pursuant to paragraph 3(b).
- f. The Department shall not use any administrative matter, such as approval of rate or policy form applications, resolution of consumer complaints, or the institution or handling of financial or market conduct exams, or take any other action, except as provided in paragraphs c., d. and g, to pressure or punish any insurer: (I) because it holds investments in entities on the List, (2) because it has new investments in entities on the List, or (3) because of its response or non-response to previous requests to withhold investments in entities on the List. Nor shall the Department, in any administrative matter or in any other action, except as provided in

7.	paragraphs c., d. and g., favor insurers because they divested from investments in entities on the			
2	List or agree to withhold future investments in entities on the List.			
3	g. If an insurer chooses to divest all investi	ments in entities on the List for any reason,		
4	including pursuant to private entreaties by Per	itioner, the Department shall, following		
5	notification by the insurer and confirmation by the	Department, and within ten business days of		
6	such confirmation, remove that insurer from the	such confirmation, remove that insurer from the Department's website indentifying insurers		
p=0	holding investments in entities on the List.			
8	h. The Department will cease treating investments in entities on the List as non-			
9	admitted assets. The Department will, as of the effe	ective date of this Settlement Agreement, no		
10	longer require insurers to report investments in entities on the List separately on their financial			
11	statements.			
12	4. This Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by all			
13	parties. Accordingly, the parties agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that			
14	ambiguity is to be construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute			
15	concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of	this Settlement Agreement.		
16	5. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts.			
17	6. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement is January 18, 2012.			
18				
19	DATED: January 27, 2012	DAVE JONES, Commissioner, Department of Insurance		
20				
21		By: Jany Tre		
22	DATED: January, 2012	AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LIFE		
23	DATED. January, Hotz	INSURERS		
24	And the state of t	By:		
25				
26	DATED: January, 2012	AMERICAN INSURANCE ASSOCIATION		
27				
28		By		
	5	Case No. BS129209		
	SETTLEMENT A	akeemen i		

rg and a second	DATED: January, 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANIES
3		Ву:
	DATED: January, 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE
6		COMPANIES By:
Q)	DATED: January, 2012	PERSONAL INSURANCE
9		FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA By:
11		E.P. J
13	SAC 442,145,338v1 1-18-12	
14		र । च
16 17		
18 19		
20		
.21 22		
23 24		
25		
26 27		
28		6 Case No. BS129209
	SETTLEME	ENT AGREEMENT

Case No. BS129209

pose

10

3

ď.

5

6

8

Ő

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

35

26

27

18

John Mangan

paragraphs c., d. and g., favor insurers because they divested from investments in entities on the List or agree to withhold future investments in entities on the List. g. If an insurer chooses to divest all investments in entities on the List for any reason, including pursuant to private entreaties by Petitioner, the Department shall, following notification by the insurer and confirmation by the Department, and within ten business days of such confirmation, remove that insurer from the Department's website indentifying insurers holding investments in entities on the List. h. The Department will cease treating investments in entities on the List as nonadmitted assets. The Department will, as of the effective date of this Settlement Agreement, no longer require insurers to report investments in entities on the List separately on their financial statements. 4. This Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by all parties. Accordingly, the parties agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is to be construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Settlement Agreement. 5. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts. 6. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement is January 18, 2012. DAVE JONES, Commissioner, DATED: January ____, 2012 Department of Insurance DATED: January 2012 AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LIFE INSURERS AMERICAN INSURANCE DATED: January____, 2012 ASSOCIATION

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

paragraphs c., d. and g., favor insurers because they divested from investments in entities on the

1

1

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ió

17 18

19

20 21 22

232425

26

27 28

TATED.	January 14, 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA
istriii.	of China Calleton of purchasent from the control of	INSURANCE COMPANIES
SERVICE TO THE PLANT OF THE PLA		By: Mol S. A.
The state of the s		
DATED:	January 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE
To the state of th	·	COMPANIES
ARLE RESULTAN-PO-PROPRIES		
	T SALES	PERSONAL INSURANCE
DATED:	January, 2012	PEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA
The state of the s		By
on the state of th		*** On the state of the state o
E.A. (Lydinial Astronomic States)		,
SAC 442,14	21-81-1 1y88C,	
And the second s		
		·
The Committee of the Co	*	
الدومة المراجعة المر	•	
CV Verification of the first		
10.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.	. ·	
aller Sections		
AND THE PROPERTY AND TH		
A Land Control of the		
en e		,
operator of Addition		
polytopia service polytopia se		
WASHINGTON CO.		
The state of the s		6 Case No. BS1292

y-ci-	DATED: January, 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANIES
2		
Î		$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{1}$
4	DATED: January /5,2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE
6		COMPANIES
7		By: Saul Um sa
8		· ·
9	DATED: January, 2012	PERSONAL INSURANCE FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA
10		Ву:
11		Company of the Compan
4. Z		
13	8AO 442,14E,338V1 1-18-12	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	·	
20	Accorded Distriction	
21		
22		
23		
24	The state of the s	
25	The state of the s	
26	The state of the s	
27	The state of the s	
28	The state of the s	
		6 Case No. BS129209 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

-		
Service Services	DATED: January, 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANIES
A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON NAME		By:
3		1/100000
4	DATED: January, 2012	ASSOCIATION OF CALIPORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE
5		COMPANIES
6		By:
7		13 y;
8	DATED: January 19, 2012	PERSONAL INSURANCE FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA
9		- A
10		By: Precident Precident
II		Precident
12	·	
13	SAC 442,145,338v1 1-18-12	
14		
15	The same of the sa	
16		
17		a contraction of the contraction
18		
19		
20	-	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ALLEGAM ETA TOWN	6 Case No. BS129209 T AGREEMENT
	PD1 ITPOMITM	A 2 De half the product place of the Conference