### STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 45 Fremont Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94105

**REG-2010-00002** 

September 10, 2010

#### 2010 CALIFORNIA LOW COST AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE RATE PROPOSAL

#### FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS AND UPDATED INFORMATIVE DIGEST

#### INTRODUCTION AND UPDATED INFORMATIVE DIGEST

On May 18, 2010, California Insurance Commissioner Steve Poizner held a public hearing to discuss the California Automobile Assigned Risk Plan's (CAARP) rate proposal for the California Low Cost Automobile ("CLCA") Insurance program.

Commissioner Poizner has determined, after public hearing and opportunity for comment, to approve an overall rate adjustment of -1.5% for the CLCA.

California Insurance Code section 11629.72(c)(4) provides that CAARP shall submit the loss and expense data, together with a proposed rate and the surcharge authorized for youthful operators on an annual basis. CAARP submitted its 2010 rate proposal on January 6, 2010, seeking an overall rate decrease of -2.4%. At the rulemaking hearing on May 18, 2010, CAARP submitted updated data which CAARP believed would authorize an overall rate decrease of -1.5%. By this proposed rulemaking action, and for the reasons set forth in the Commissioner's Rate Order, the Commissioner hereby approves an overall rate adjustment of -1.5% for the CLCA program. As is explained below, this rate adjustment is necessary to make the CLCA program consistent with existing law and policy.

#### STATEMENT OF SPECIFIC PURPOSE AND NECESSITY FOR REGULATIONS

California Insurance Code sections 11629.7 through 11629.85 require, within the California Automobile Assigned Risk Plan ("CAARP") established under section 11620, a statewide low cost automobile insurance program. Because the program is established and administered through CAARP, CAARP procedures are applied where appropriate and consistent with the low cost automobile insurance statutes.

Insurance Code section 11629.72 provides that, after a public hearing, the Commissioner shall approve rates that are sufficient to cover losses incurred through policies issued under the program and those reasonable and necessary expenses incurred due to participation in the CLCA program. Rates must also be set in a manner that prevents any projected subsidy of the program by policyholders who are not participants in the program. Similarly, rates must be set in a manner that prevents subsidies of policyholders in one county by policyholders in any of the other counties. This plan, approved by the Commissioner, is referenced in Title 10, section

2498.6 of the California Code of Regulations. The rate amendments to the CLCA and revisions to the plan approved by the Commissioner and set forth within his Rate Order are reasonably necessary to implement, interpret and make specific Insurance Code sections 11629.7, 11629.71, and 11629.72, which authorize the establishment of rates by county for the CLCA.

# MANDATES

The regulations do not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

# COMPARABLE FEDERAL LAW

There are no comparable existing federal regulations or statutes.

## **IDENTIFICATION OF STUDIES**

Aside from the original CAARP rate proposal and written submissions presented by CAARP in conjunction with this rate hearing, the Commissioner, in proposing the adoption of these regulations, did not rely upon any data or technical, theoretical or empirical study, report or similar document.

# SPECIFIC ACTIONS, PROCEDURES, TECHNOLOGIES OR EQUIPMENT

Adoption of the proposed regulations will not mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment.

## **REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES**

The Commissioner has determined that no reasonable alternatives exist to carry out the purpose for which the regulations are proposed. Performance standards were considered but were rejected as contrary to the statutes authorizing the promulgation of county-by-county rates. While the Commissioner invited public comments on the proposed changes and reasonable alternatives which would be as effective to carry out the proposed changes, no reasonable alternatives were presented.

## ECONOMIC IMPACT ON BUSINESS

The Commissioner initially determined that the proposed regulations will not have a significant adverse economic impact on businesses. While the Commissioner invited interested parties to comment on whether the proposed regulations will have a significant adverse economic impact on business, no comments were submitted on this subject.

## ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESS

The Commissioner has not identified any alternatives that would lessen any adverse impact on small businesses. Nor have any such alternatives otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commissioner that would lessen any impact on small business. To the extent

that the proposed regulations affect insurance companies, the proposed regulations do not affect small business. (See Gov. Code § 11342.610.)

### COMMENTS SUBMITTED AND COMMISSIONER'S RESPONSE

The e-mail exchanges between the Department of Insurance and AIPSO are bound in Tab 10 of the rulemaking file. The Commissioner's Rate Order can be found in Tab 15.